





REHABILITATION OF GARARDA EARTHEN DAM



Authors: Randhir Kumar Choudhary, Deputy Director Ashwani Kumar Verma, Deputy Director

Central Water Commission, New Delhi (India)





SALIENT FEATURES

Length of Dam – 4271.0m Maximum height – 32.0m Storage Capacity – 42 MCM

BENEFITS:

- ✓ to meet the increased agriculture production requirement by providing irrigation water to a Gross Command Area (GCA) of 10492.0Ha and
- drinking water requirement of Bundi City.







ABOUT THE PROJECT

Gararda Dam was proposed to tap the discharge of three <u>**Chambal basin**</u> drainage channels, namely **Mangli River** towards the left end across which there is a spillway site, **Dungri River** in the middle and **Ganeshi River** at the right end of the Dam axis.









On completion of the dam, the reservoir filling commenced on July 26, 2010.

□ Due to heavy rain the catchment area, the water level in the reservoir quickly rose up to EL 291 m (just 4 m below FRL)

□ Subsequently, on August 15, 2010 at 4:30 am -

the central portion of the dam across the Dungari River washed away from the closure section





BREACHED OF GARARDA EARTHEN DAM



On August 15, 2010 at 4:30 am, the central portion of the dam across the Dungari River washed away from the closure section

□ Flooding at least a dozen villages on the D/S and

□ Causing major damages to crops.

□ However, no casualties were reported.

TOI Jaipur News, (2010)





REPORT OF THE ENQUIRY COMMITTEE

On August 15, 2010 at 4:30 am, the central portion of the dam across the Dungari River washed away from the closure section

- □ Subsequent to breach the state government **ordered an inquiry** <u>to ascertain</u> <u>the reasons and the circumstances behind the dam's breach</u>.
- □ The soil test results indicated that entire soil is of the single type which implies that a homogeneous section was constructed instead of a zoned section.
- □ The test results of filter material also shows that about 25-30% of the material is finer than 75 micron which means that proper filter material was not used rendering it ineffective CSMRS Report (2011)

From the information collected from official records, inquiry from staff & local people, based on visual inspection and from the results of soil testing it was inferred that the **decision** regarding reduction in the depth of Cut-of-Trench, depth of curtain grouting, and allowing quarry spoils in place of well-graded gravel **was taken anonymously by the site-engineer**.

Handa Y. K. (2016)





DESIGNED AND EXECUTED CROSS SECTION









PROBLEM REFER TO CWC



On August 15, 2010 at 4:30 am, the central portion of the dam across the Dungari River washed away from the closure section

- Central water Commission (CWC) was requested to carry out detail investigations for ascertaining the reason of breaching of Gararda Dam and to advice on:
 - □ The totality of circumstances leading to breach of Dam;
 - Status of the Dam in other portion; and
 - **Steps required to ensure the Dam safety in future.**





CHRONOLOGY







RECONNAISSANCE: 2011–12

ABSTRACT OF CWC TEAM REPORT AFTER INSPECTION OF BREACHED DAM ON 01/10/2011

- ➤ The Gararda Dam was subjected to an uncontrolled filling. In absence of any arrangements to control the initial reservoir filling, it was not possible to undertake any effective remedial measure, in the event of any distress condition.
- Approval of geologists before covering the foundation and undertaking construction of the earth-dam was perhaps not taken. This is considered necessary in order to ensure satisfactory treatment of the rock foundations before undertaking dam construction.
- Adequate concern for dam safety was missing in construction as well as supervision of the works. It is understood that there were probably some compromises in quality of earthwork – especially on issues of construction, specification of dam materials, thickness and of lying of filters etc.

Four study drawings of earth dam for breached portion with the condition that final drawings for construction will be issued after receipt of the required data for which CSMRS was to carry out the soil test of the existing dam were issued to WRD, Rajasthan.







RECONNAISSANCE: 2015-16

The Joint Team Comprising Officials of CWC, CSMRS and WRD Rajasthan visited the existing dam both at the right portion and the left portion of the breached section.



Excessive weeds and shrubs growth with deep roots in the upstream and downstream slope were seen.











Deep rain cuts and gullies were observed on the D/S slope on entire dam body.



Two wide vertical open joint parallel to flow of river at expose portion





Localized slope failures were also found

at some location on D/S slope.

Deep Holes on the crest of Dam







<u>Settlement/Bulging</u> of rip-rap in U/S side of dam was observed in <u>entire</u> <u>length</u> of earth dam

 The U/S slope was observed with very thin single layer stone pitching without any filter.



The dam section appeared to be a homogeneous one.

* There was <u>no clear evidence</u> for existence of any filter material, clay core and cut-off trench in the dam body as evident from exposed portion of breached section of dam.





GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS BY CSMRS



In-situ wet Density



SPT (N) values

CSMRS Report (2015)

Triaxial Shear Tests

The result of triaxial tests indicated that **effective cohesion** (c') and effective values of **angle of shearing resistance** (Φ ') for soil samples varies from <u>0.18-0.25 kg/sqcm</u> and <u>25° to 30°</u> respectively.

Consolidation Test

1D-Consolidation test results indicate that the shell materials are likely to undergo low to medium compressibility depending upon the imposed stress levels.

CSMRS Report (2017)





CHALLENGES

There could be pockets/layers of low shear strength due to poor construction quality which might lead to large settlement.

There could be uncertainty in soil properties as brief investigations showed high variability in in-situ parameters (like relative density).

There had to ensure the continuous Seepage Barriers from FRL to sufficient depth below foundation level.

Permeability values of clay core/shell material are inconsistent.

There were no arrangements to control the rate of reservoir filling.

Scarcity or non-availability of suitable filter material around the project site.

The prolonged time gap could result in a differential settlement at the interface of breached and breached portion.

Sibal S. K. (2012)

Handa Y. K. (2016)

Jain A. (2018)







FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AT RD 2520m

The dam section at RD 2520 m was selected for analysis for two reasons:

- ✓ Firstly at his location drill hole was done from top of the dam to foundation level so it covers the entire height of the dam and
- ✓ Secondly **lowest bulk density** value was recorded at this section.

LOADS AND BOUNDARY CONDITION

- □ In 2D static analysis gravity forces i.e. body forces are considered while in dynamic analysis earthquake force (horizontal Earthquake coefficient as 0.068 and vertical coefficient as 0.045) were also considered for analysis.
- In 2D static analysis slope surface is allowed to move in all directions while rock foundation was restrained. Hydraulic boundary conditions were set as per flow conditions.

Jain A. (2018)





MATERIAL PROPERSTIES USED FE IN ANALYSIS

S. No.	Soil Layer as per Elevation	In situ Bulk Density (KN/cum)	(c′) in KPa	(Φ') in Degree	Saturated permeability in cm/s
1	El300.8-El295.9	20.3	18	25	1 ×10 ⁻⁴
2	El295.9-El291	19.9	18	25	1 ×10 ⁻⁴
3	El291-El288	19.9	18	25	1 ×10 ⁻⁴
4	El288-El283	15.1	18	25	1 x10 ⁻⁴
5	El283-El277	14.5	18	25	1 ×10 ⁻⁴
6	El277-El273	20	18	25	1 ×10 ⁻⁴
7	El273-El268	17.8	18	25	1 ×10 ⁻⁴
8	Foundation Rock	27	500	35	1 x10 ⁻⁵

Jain A. (2018)









Modified section for UNBREACHED portion







New section for BREACHED portion







Physical properties of geotextiles used for R. works

Tests/Properties	Units	Method	NG-1	NG-2	NG-3
Mass per unit area	g/m²	ENISO-9864	250	400	100-125
Wide width tensile strength	kN/m	ENISO-10319	15.5/18.5	265/32	6/7.5
Wide width elongation at break strength (MD/CD)	%	ENISO-10319	50/50	50/50	-
Puncture strength (CBR)	N	ENISO-12236	2300	4000	1000
Dynamic performance resistance-cone drop	mm	ENISO-13433	22	10	35
Permittivity/ flow rate	10 ⁻³ m/s	ENISO-11058	35	20	-
Apparent opening size (AOS)	mm	ENISO-12956	0.075	0.075	-





Rehabilitation Works at unbreached Sections







Rehabilitation Works at Breached Portion of Dam



- a) The Cut-off Trench in the unbreached portion can be seen to be laid against the highly permeable cobble layer without filter protection
- b) The cobble layer over which the unbreached section was founded

The portion of unbreached portion, founded over cobble layer, completely removed and laid as fresh dam section.

c) Laying of Geocomposite (Geotextile+Geomembrane+Geotextile) on u/s slope.





INSTRUMENTATION DETAILS

Various monitoring Instruments to measure/observe the dam behavior installed in newly laid were Embankment Section at breached portion.

SYMBOL	CONVENTION	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL QTY
Q	OSPP	OPEN STAND PIPE PIEZOMETER	4 NO'S
6	EPM	VW. EMBANKMENT PIEZOMETER	20NO'S
4	FPM	VW. FOUNDATION PIEZOMETER	5 NO'S
I	ST	SURVEY TARGET/SETTLEMENT POINT	AS REQD
	EPC	VW. EARTH PRESSUER CELL	3 NO'S
		V-NOTCH	AS REQD







REHABILITATION COMPLETED



RESERVOIR FILLING COMMENCED







CHRONOLOGY









LESSON LEARNED

- ✓ The most common causes of earth dam failures are piping in the dam body or foundation and overtopping. The quality control issues are the major cause for piping related failures.
- ✓ A proper planning on dam site selection, project layout, design and construction is essential for safety of dam.
- ✓ Geological investigations and laboratory testing should be considered an essential part of design.
- ✓ The dam foundation preparation and cleanup should be given due importance. Any laxity on this score can prove hazardous later on.
- ✓ As there are a large number of failures that have occurred during the first filling of dam, it is important to recognize the importance of filling of the reservoir slowly under fully controlled conditions and to have means available for lowering the water level rapidly if problems develop.







LESSON LEARNED

- ✓ It is fact that all the earth and rockfill dams are subject to seepage through the body of embankment, foundation and abutments. Seepage control is necessary to control excessive uplift pressure, instability of downstream slope, piping through body of embankment and/or foundation and erosion of material by migration into open joints of foundation or abutments.
- ✓ The filter on the downstream slope of COT is highly desirable especially for those COTs which are formed by excavating very permeable strata and can trigger particle migration and piping. This study shows that in the absence of downstream drainage filter, downstream slope of the existing dam is becoming seeping face.
- ✓ Further, during breach even existing dam section was subjected to sudden drawdown condition which has resulted in local slope failure in upstream face and settlements at the discrete location. The FEM analysis also depicts local slope failure near toe and first berm on the upstream slope.







LESSON LEARNED

- ✓ The existing dam section is modified by providing downstream 1.5 m thick horizontal and chimney filter and by providing geosynthetic material on both u/s and d/s slopes.
- ✓ The geo-synthetic material would offer protection against piping during critical first filling of the reservoir and during the first few operating years when post construction settlement could be expected.
- ✓ Geosynthetics provide a lot of flexibility in design works, wherein their different functional capabilities such as seepage barrier, separation, filtration, drainage and reinforcement can be utilized effectively.
- ✓ In cases of non-availability of good quality of construction materials in vicinity of project sites, geosynthetics provide an excellent and sustainable alternative.





Gardada Dam: गरड़दा बांध की दीवार का हुआ पुनर्निर्माण, मानसून ...



जिले की बहुप्रतीक्षित गरड़दा मध्यम सिंचाई परियोजना का पुनर्निर्माण अब अंतिम ...

Patrika · pankaj joshi · 13-May-2022

Thank You !

Gardda Dam: गरड़दा बांध में आया 52 फीट पानी, आधे से ज्यादा भरा ...



बूंदी जिले की बहुप्रतीक्षित गरड़दा मध्यम सिंचाई परियोजना में रविवार को अपनी ...

Patrika · pankaj joshi · 10-Jul-2022

Gardda Dam: हिलोरे लेने लगा गरड़दा बांध, 76 फीट हुआ पानी-video



डेढ़ सौ करोड़ रुपए की लागत से निर्मित हुई गरड़दा मध्यम सिंचाई परियोजना अब ...

Patrika · pankaj joshi · 25-Jul-2022